Fiscal Estimate - 2011 Session

Original Updated	Corrected Supplemental							
LRB Number 11-4059/1	Introduction Number AB-0719							
Description Requiring a referendum before construction of highway roundabouts								
Fiscal Effect								
Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Appropriations Reversible Decrease Existing Decrease Existing Decrease Decrease Decrease Reversible Decrease Dec	ase Existing Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget							
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Mandatory Districts Districts Cities Actives Actives Actives Cities Counties Countie								
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature Date							
DOT/ Patrick Fleming (608) 266-8486	Stephanie LaSage (608) 267-3703 3/27/2012							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOT 3/27/2012

LRB Number 11-4059/1	Introduction Number	AB-0719	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
Requiring a referendum before construction of highway roundabouts								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Department has an Intersection Control Evaluation (ICE) process to help determine the appropriate intersection control alternative for a particular site condition. This process is used to coordinate, communicate with, and inform the public about potential alternatives as part of the National Environmental Protection Agency (NEPA) process required by state and federal laws. The design process is already required to obtain sufficient information to move a project forward and present the alternatives to the public. There are currently about 80 roundabouts at some level of design, or about 20 roundabouts on an annual basis (after 2012). The cost to re-evaluate each roundabout not approved by a referendum would be variable and site specific. Using a general re-evaluation estimate of \$30,000 for each, or approximately 20 roundabouts per year is about \$600,000/yr for a total of \$2.4 million for those in the design/evauation pipeline at this time. Many of those 80 roundabouts are far beyond the initial evaluation process and would cost approximately \$100,000-\$150,000 each to redesign for those that have a completed design.

FHWA may not fund an alternative approved through the NEPA process and then revised because of a local referendum. In these cases, federal funds would not be used on the project and it would be 100 percent state funds.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Delaying roundabout projects already in the design pipeline for years will have large adverse safety implications where fatalities or serious injuries could be substantially reduced. At this time there is an annual average of about 20 roundabouts per year added to the design/evaluation process which would have an adverse annual cost if re-evaluation or redesign is required.

It is difficult to establish a cost per referendum, as these are local ballots with variable costs based on population and other local factors. Referenda would be required immediately for 80 roundabouts. Additional referenda would arise as intersections were built or reconstructed.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2011 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Upda	ted	Corrected		Supplemental	
RB Number 11-4059/1 Introduction Number AB-0719					
Description Requiring a referendum before construc	ction of hi	ghway roundabouts			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impact annualized fiscal effect):	s for Sta	te and/or Local Governm	ent (do n	ot include in	
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:				
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fring	es	\$300,000		\$	
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs		300,000			
Local Assistance					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$600,000		\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR					
FED		480,000			
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S		120,000			
III. State Revenues - Complete this or revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease	nly when e in licen	proposal will increase of se fee, ets.)	r decreas	e state	
		Increased Rev		Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes		\$		\$	
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$	
NET A	NUALIZ	ED FISCAL IMPACT			
		<u>State</u>		Local	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		600,000		\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$		\$	
Agency/Prepared By Aut		thorized Signature		Date	
DOT/ Patrick Fleming (608) 266-8486	Ste	phanie LaSage (608) 267-	aSage (608) 267-3703		